

# Genome-wide association study and fine-mapping on Korean biobank to discover renal trait-associated variants

Dong-Jin Lee<sup>1</sup>, Jong-Seok Moon<sup>2</sup>, Dae Kwon Song<sup>3,4</sup>, Yong Seok Lee<sup>3,4</sup>, Dong-Sub Kim<sup>1</sup>, Nam-Jun Cho<sup>1</sup>, Hyo-Wook Gil<sup>1</sup>, Eun Young Lee<sup>1,5</sup>, Samel Park<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Soonchunhyang University Cheonan Hospital, Cheonan, Republic of Korea

<sup>2</sup>Department of Integrated Biomedical Science, Soonchunhyang Institute of Medi-bio Science (SIMS), Soonchunhyang University, Cheonan, Republic of Korea

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, College of Natural Sciences, Soonchunhyang University, Asan, Republic of Korea

<sup>₄</sup>Support Center (Core-Facility) for Bio-Bigdata Analysis and Utilization of Biological Resources, Soonchunhyang University, Asan, Republic of Korea

 $^{
m 5}$ Institute of Tissue Regeneration, Soonchunhyang University College of Medicine, Cheonan, Republic of Korea

**Background:** Chronic kidney disease is a significant health burden worldwide, with increasing incidence. Although several genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have investigated single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) associated with kidney trait, most studies were focused on European ancestry.

Methods: We utilized clinical and genetic information collected from the Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study (KoGES).

**Results:** More than five million SNPs from 58,406 participants were analyzed. After meta-GWAS, 1,360 loci associated with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) at a genome-wide significant level ( $p = 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) were identified. Among them, 399 loci were validated with at least one other biomarker (blood urea nitrogen [BUN] or eGFR<sub>oysc</sub>) and 149 loci were validated using both markers. Among them, 18 SNPs (nine known ones and nine novel ones) with 20 putative genes were found. The aggregated effect of genes estimated by MAGMA gene analysis showed that these significant genes were enriched in kidney-associated pathways, with the kidney and liver being the most enriched tissues.

**Conclusion:** In this study, we conducted GWAS for more than 50,000 Korean individuals and identified several variants associated with kidney traits, including eGFR, BUN, and  $eGFR_{cysc}$ . We also investigated functions of relevant genes using computational methods to define putative causal variants.

**Keywords:** Chronic kidney disease, Estimated glomerular filtration rate, Genetics, Genome-wide association study, Korean Genome and Epidemiology

# Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a significant health issue

with a globally increasing incidence, affecting over 850 million individuals with kidney diseases worldwide [1]. To uncover the pathogenesis of CKD, global consensus initia-

Received: March 27, 2023; Revised: June 15, 2023; Accepted: June 20, 2023 Correspondence: Samel Park

Department of Internal Medicine, Soonchunhyang University Cheonan Hospital, 31 Suncheonhyang 6-gil, Dongnam-gu, Cheonan 31151, Republic of Korea. E-mail: samelpark17@schmc.ac.kr ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5717-0743

Copyright © 2023 by The Korean Society of Nephrology

© This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial and No Derivatives License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution of the material without any modifications, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original works properly cited.

tives have conducted large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and meta-analyses of GWAS (meta-GWAS). During the earlier stage of the GWAS era, several loci associated with renal function and kidney disease have been identified [2,3]. These studies have demonstrated the vast potential of GWAS in CKD research. Recently, several fine-mapping studies have been conducted in conjunction with GWAS to perform functional annotations of identified variants, shedding light on the pathogenesis of CKD [4–8]. However, most GWAS were focused on European ancestry.

The Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study (KoGES) is a nationwide cohort that has collected clinical and genetic information since 2001 [9]. Using this cohort, GWAS have been conducted on various traits, including alcoholic liver disease [10], serum uric acid [11], and muscle mass [12]. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) is a widely accepted kidney trait for GWAS. A few studies have been conducted to identify single nuclear polymorphisms (SNP) associated with eGFR in the Korean population [13,14]. However, these studies have reported associated SNPs without functional fine-mapping or functional annotations. Given that SNPs discovered by GWAS are regulatory variants associated with complex traits and diseases, uncovering functional annotation and fine-mapping are important [15]. Therefore, we conducted a GWAS to identify loci associated with eGFR and a fine-mapping study to reveal putative causal SNPs in the Korean populations.

## Methods

## Participants and genotyping

This study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Soonchunhyang University Cheonan Hospital (Cheonan, Korea) and the need for informed consent was waived by IRB (No. SCHCA 2021-11-035). This study complied with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

All genotype data were obtained from the Korea Biobank Array Project managed by the Korea National Institute of Health. All samples and clinical data were collected as part of the KoGES [9]. The cohort comprised three sub-cohorts, namely the Korean Association Resource (KARE), Health Examinee (HEXA), and Cardiovascular Disease Association Study (CAVAS). The methodology for genotyping across all cohorts has been detailed elsewhere [16]. Shortly, these cohorts comprised community-dwellers aged  $\geq$ 40 years at baseline. The KARE cohort, also known as the Ansan and Anseong cohort, included participants from the Ansan and Anseong regions representing urban and rural areas, respectively. The HEXA study recruited participants who attended regular health check-ups in urban areas. The CAVAS cohort had participants from rural areas to investigate cardiovascular diseases.

The three sub-cohorts consisted of 10,030, 177,357, and 28,338 participants, respectively. Among them, 8,840, 58,694, and 8,105 subjects were genotyped using Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human SNP array version 5.0 (Affymetrix) [17] for KARE and Korea Biobank Array [16] for HEXA and CAVAS. Although the quality control process of genotyping was initially conducted before distributing cohort data to researchers, as previously reported [16–18], we additionally performed rigorous quality control processes [19]. These processes involved quality controls based on predetermined criteria, such as SNPs including low genotype calls (<0.01), individuals with high rates of genotype missingness (<0.05), sex discrepancy-based X- chromosome homozygosity, low minor allele frequency (MAF, <0.05), Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $p < 1 \times 10^{-6}$ ), individuals with heterozygosity rate deviated ±3 standard deviation from the mean, cryptic relatedness based on pi-hat threshold of 0.2, and population stratification. Population stratification was analyzed using a multidimensional scaling (MDS) approach for KARE and CAVAS cohorts. However, principal component analysis was used to calculate population stratification in the HEXA cohort due to the large sample size that made MDS analysis unfeasible.

#### Genotype imputation

After completing quality control, the imputation of all cohorts was carried out using the 1000 Genome Project Phase 3 reference panel which comprised an Asian population [20]. The imputation was performed using Beagle 5.4 and involved haplotype phasing [21] and imputation [22]. Following the imputation process, additional quality control was executed based on imputation information quality score of >0.8 and MAF of >0.05.

## Phenotype and covariates

In the HEXA cohort, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) was available for a subset (only 54%) of participants at baseline. Due to the limited availability of laboratories in the HEXA cohort at baseline, we utilized data from the first follow-up where almost all individuals had HbA1c results. Hence, the first follow-up data from the HEXA cohort were utilized for this analysis. For the KARE and CAVAS cohorts, baseline data were used for analysis.

The primary phenotype in all cohorts was a quantitative trait, which was defined by eGFR using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation [23]. Creatinine levels were measured using a Hitachi Analyzer 7600 (Hitachi) in KARE and an ADVIA 1650 (Siemens Healthcare) in the CAVAS cohort. Serum cystatin C was measured using a Cobas c702 (Roche). All KARE and CAVAS cohort samples were measured at Seoul Clinical Laboratories. It should be noted that the HEXA cohort was based on data from a nationwide health examination where each laboratory result was measured at the institution where the health examination was performed. Thus, specific methods used by each institution were unavailable.

To estimate an unbiased effect of genotype on renal function (i.e., eGFR), subjects with diabetes mellitus (DM) or albuminuria were excluded. As covariates, age, sex, body mass index, systolic blood pressure (SBP), and past medical history of hypertension were used. Past medical history of hypertension was defined as SBP of  $\geq$ 140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure of  $\geq$ 90 mmHg, and self-report by participants. History of DM was defined by HbA1c of  $\geq$ 6.5%, fasting glucose of  $\geq$ 126 mg/dL, and self-report by participants. Albuminuria was defined by  $\geq 1+$  in the urine dipstick test. Individuals with missing values in covariates were excluded from further analysis. Population structure was visually inspected and found to be homogenous, with all participants having Korean ancestry (Supplementary Fig. 1, available online). Nonetheless, to adjust for population stratification, 10 principal components calculated based on genotypes were included as covariates.

In addition to eGFR, blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and eGFR calculated using serum cystatin C (eGFR<sub>cysC</sub>) [24] were used for validation, although serum cystatin C was available for the CAVAS cohort. All phenotypes were quantitative traits since the prevalence of CKD based on eGFR

criteria was too low to perform a case-control analysis. When the histogram of each variable was normally distributed, original values were used as phenotype. Otherwise, naturally transformed values were used.

#### Genome-wide association study and meta-analysis

Most GWAS were performed using plink version 1.9 [25]. Quantitative trait was analyzed using linear regression with the plink command "--linear" and prespecified covariates with the assumption of an additive genetic model. An association study was performed for the three cohorts individually, then a meta-GWAS analysis was performed using METAL (Meta-Analysis Tool for Genome-wide Association Scans) with genomic control correction [26]. Genome-wide significant (GWS) level was defined as p of  $<5 \times 10^{-8}$ . Distribution of observed p-values and estimated p-values of given SNPs were depicted using quantile-quantile (QQ) plots. QQ plots and Manhattan plots were drawn using qqman R packages of R software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

#### Functional mapping and annotation

Following meta-GWAS, variants associated with eGFR with GWS level were selected for validation analysis. To confirm associations of these variants with other biomarkers, results from meta-GWAS of log-transformed BUN (log-BUN) and eGFR<sub>cysC</sub> were utilized. Variants that had both a directionally opposite, nominally significant association (p < 0.05) with log-BUN and a directionally concordant, nominally significant association with eGFR<sub>cysC</sub> were defined as validated loci.

We used FUMA (Functional Mapping and Annotation of Genome-Wide Association Studies) to perform functional mapping and annotation with specific purposes: (1) to specify genomic risk loci that were independently significant with other SNPs (i.e., clumping); (2) to annotate genes; and (3) to validate enrichment in tissue expression data [27]. At first, FUMA selected independent significant SNPs that exhibited a GWS association ( $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) and were independent ( $r^2 < 0.6$ ). Thus, independent significant SNPs were equivalent to SNPs that remained after clumping GWAS-tagged SNPs with the same p-value and  $r^2$ threshold. Based on these SNPs, lead SNPs were identified when they were independent of other SNPs with a level of  $r^2 < 0.1$ . If the linkage disequilibrium (LD) blocks of those independent significant SNPs were closely positioned (within ±250 kb from the first and last of the LD block), they were integrated into a genomic risk region. FUMA defined the lead SNP of the genomic risk region as the genomic risk loci. FUMA used ANNOVAR to annotate and map identified variants [28]. Additionally, we performed MAGMA (Multi-marker Analysis of Genomic Annotation) gene analysis [29] and conditional and interaction geneset analysis (i.e., gene-property analysis) [30] using FUMA. The gene-property analysis was based on tissue expression data from Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) v8 [31]. Of all 54 GTEx tissues, 49 tissues with a sample size of  $\geq$ 70 were used.

#### Gene-set and tissue enrichment analysis

Additional gene-set enrichment analysis was performed using the DOSE R package [32]. To investigate tissue type-specific enrichment based on GWAS summary statistics, stratified LD score regression applying to specifically expressed genes (LDSC-SEG) was performed [33]. Two gene expression datasets from the GTEx project [31] and Franke lab [34], of which annotation data had previously been curated specifically for East Asians by LDSC-SEG builders, were used for LDSC-SEG [35].

When more than two independent significant SNPs were identified and remained after validation with other biomarkers (i.e., log-BUN and  $eGFR_{cysC}$ ) within a genomic risk region, conditional analysis was performed using a Genome-wide Complex Analysis (GCTA) tool [36]. Loci with p of  $<5 \times 10^{-8}$  were retained after conditional analysis for the most significant SNPs (lowest p-value) within a genomic risk region. Genotype data from the HEXA cohort, which had the majority of data, were used as the LD reference for conditional analysis.

## Results

4

#### Baseline characteristics and phenotypes

After genotype quality control, 8,384, 58,079, and 7,966 subjects remained in the KARE, HEXA, and CAVAS cohorts, respectively. Among the 58,079 subjects in the HEXA cohort, 51,902 visited at the first follow-up schedule. Individuals with missing values and those with DM or albuminuria were then removed. Finally, 6,848, 44,787, and 6,771 individuals remained in the KARE, HEXA, and CAVAS cohorts, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 2, available online).

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the KoGES cohort. Median serum creatinine levels in KARE, HEXA, and CAVAS cohorts were 0.80 (interquartile range [IQR], 0.70–1.00), 0.75 (IQR, 0.66–0.89), and 0.91 (IQR, 0.83–1.03), respectively. The median age of the KARE cohort was lower than that of the HEXA cohort or the CAVAS cohort. As all cohorts targeted the general population, the proportion of CKD patients was low. The highest proportion of CKD, defined by an eGFR of <60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, was observed in the CAVAS cohort.

Histograms indicated that eGFR and eGFR<sub>cysC</sub> of each cohort were normally distributed, while BUN was more likely to be normally distributed when it was naturally log-transformed (Supplementary Fig. 3, available online). Hence, eGFR and natural log-BUN were used as quantitative traits.

## Genotypes

After quality control and genotype imputation processes, 4,864,729, 5,741,581, and 5,746,961 variants remained in the KARE, HEXA, and CAVAS cohorts, respectively. Following meta-GWAS analysis, 1,360 loci were found to be associated with eGFR at the GWS level. Manhattan plots of meta-GWAS for eGFR revealed several regions with significant association (outer circle of Fig. 1). Results from the meta-GWAS on log-BUN demonstrated that 2,454 loci were associated at the GWS level (Supplementary Fig. 4, available online). In addition, 143 SNPs were associated with eGFR, 399 were validated by at least one biomarker (i.e., log-BUN or eGFR<sub>cysC</sub>) and 149 were validated by both biomarkers (Supplementary Table 1, available online).

Validated loci (yellow points in Fig. 1) showed a similar pattern to that of a previously reported large GWAS study (inner circle of Fig. 1) [37]. The inner plot in Fig. 1 illustrates 399 validated loci for at least one biomarker. It was worth noting that the effect (beta) of validated variants was more prominent in cases where the mean allele frequency was lower.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of HEXA, KARE, and CAVAS cohorts

Characteristic	KARE	HEXA	CAVAS
No. of patients	6,848	44,787	6,771
Age (yr)	49 (44-59)	58 (52-64)	59 (51-65)
40-65	6,281 (91.7)	35,414 (79.1)	5,137 (75.9)
>65	567 (8.3)	9,373 (20.9)	1,634 (24.1)
Male sex	3,163 (46.2)	14,796 (33.0)	2,518 (37.2)
Hypertension	1,949 (28.5)	8,252 (18.4)	2,039 (30.1)
SBP (mmHg)	118 (107-130)	121 (112-132)	122 (111-134)
DBP (mmHg)	79 (71-87)	74 (68-80)	78 (70-85)
BMI (kg/m²)	24.3 (22.4-26.3)	23.5 (21.8-25.4)	24.3 (22.4-26.3)
Waist circumference (cm)	82.0 (75.7-87.9)	80.5 (74.8-86.2)	84.0 (78.0-89.8)
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.5 (12.5-14.7)	13.8 (13.0-14.8)	13.7 (12.8-14.7)
Albumin (g/dL)	4.5 (4.3-4.7)	4.6 (4.5-4.8)	4.5 (4.3-4.6)
Glucose (mg/dL)	87 (82-92)	95 (89-101)	92 (87–99)
HbA1c (%)	5.5 (5.3-5.8)	5.5 (5.2-5.7)	5.5 (5.2-5.7)
AST (IU/L)	22.0 (19.0-28.0)	23.0 (20.0-27.0)	24.0 (21.0-28.0)
ALT (IU/L)	19.0 (14.0-26.0)	19.0 (15.0-24.0)	20.0 (16.0-27.0)
BUN (mg/dL)	13.4 (11.2-16.0)	14.5 (12.2-17.1)	15.2 (12.7-18.0)
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.80 (0.70-1.00)	0.75 (0.66-0.89)	0.91 (0.83-1.03)
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	95.5 (81.8-105.4)	92.7 (83.4-99.6)	76.1 (68.9-83.4)
eGFR <sub>cysC</sub> (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )			91.0 (78.0-102.2)
eGFR < 60 (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	125 (1.8)	865 (1.9)	491 (7.3)

Data are expressed as number only, median (interquartile range ), or number (%).

There were some missing values: 1) 6 for waist circumference, 24 for glucose level, 1 for HbA1c, and 3 for ALT in KARE cohort; 2) 2 for DBP, 7 for waist circumference, 5 for hemoglobin, 5 for HbA1c, 1 for AST, 29 for ALT in HEXA cohort; 3) 14 for waist circumference, 475 for HbA1c, 5 for ALT, and 80 for cystatin C in CAVAS cohort.

ALT, alanine transferase; AST, aspartate transferase; BUN, blood urine nitrogen; BMI, body mass index; CAVAS, Cardiovascular Disease Association Study; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated using serum creatinine by CKD-EPI equation; eGFR<sub>cysc</sub>, estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated using serum creatinine by CKD-EPI equation; eGFR<sub>cysc</sub>, estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated using serum creatinine by CKD-EPI equation; eGFR<sub>cysc</sub>, estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated using serum creatinine by CKD-EPI equation; eGFR<sub>cysc</sub>, estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated using serum creatinine by CKD-EPI equation; eGFR<sub>cysc</sub>, estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated using serum creatinine by CKD-EPI equation; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HEXA, Health Examinee; KARE, Korean Association Resource; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

#### Functional mapping and annotation

FUMA identified 87 independent significant SNPs, consisting of lead SNPs and 33 genomic risk regions. Of these, 31 loci were validated by at least one biomarker (log-BUN or  $eGFR_{cysC}$ ) (Fig. 2A). Fourteen loci were validated by both  $eGFR_{cysC}$  and log-BUN. Ten loci were validated by log-BUN and seven loci were validated by  $eGFR_{cysC}$ . Scatterplots of effect (beta) between eGFR and other biomarkers are also depicted in Fig. 2 (red dots validated by both log-BUN and  $eGFR_{cysC}$ , blue dots validated by log-BUN, and green dots validated by  $eGFR_{cysC}$ ).

Among the 31 validated loci, 11 lead SNPs were identified within 15 genomic risk regions. When loci within a single genomic risk region had  $\geq 2$ , a conditional analysis was performed (see Methods section). As a result, 18 loci remained, and three loci (rs4665985, rs62141288, and rs35578578) remained significant after conditional analysis (Table 2).

The median eGFR showed significant differences according to the dosage of loci, i.e., the number of effect alleles (Supplementary Fig. 5, available online). In the HEXA cohorts, all variants in Table 2 showed significant differences between genotypes after Bonferroni correction. Only seven variants (rs1260326, rs33921462, rs744103, rs35578578, rs35449439, rs2240736, and rs549752) were validated as significant in the CAVAS cohort. None was validated in the KARE cohort, although this was likely due to the smaller sample size of the KARE cohort.

We also investigated whether the effect (beta) of variants discovered in our study was concordant with that of a previous large study [37]. Among the 399 loci validated



**Figure 1. Circos plot for GWAS meta-analysis.** The outer circle depicts the loci associated with eGFR in KoGES, while the inner circle represents those in a previously published GWAS by Stanzick et al. [37]. Yellow points indicate validated loci by at least one biomarker (log-BUN or eGFR<sub>cysc</sub>). The Y-axis denotes  $-\log_{10}(p)$  for association with eGFR. In the inner circle, the Y-axis was truncated at 40. Red dotted lines indicate a genome-wide significant level ( $p = 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ). The inner plot illustrates a correlation between beta and mean allele frequency of validated loci (red points, lead SNPs; blue points, independent significant SNPs; gray points, validated by both log-BUN and eGFR<sub>cysc</sub>).

BUN, blood urine nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated using serum creatinine by CKD-EPI equation; eGFR<sub>cysC</sub>, eGFR calculated using serum cystatin C by CKD-EPI equation; GWAS, genome-wide association study; KoGES, the Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

by log-BUN or  $eGFR_{cysC}$ , 333 variants were also observed. The degree and direction of the effect of loci seemed to be concordant with the previous report (Fig. 3). Of 18 variants shown in Table 2, 12 loci were noted.

## Gene-set and tissue enrichment study

MAGMA gene analysis was also performed using FUMA, resulting in 65 genes that remained statistically significant after Bonferroni correction ( $p_{bon} < 0.05$ ). Among these



**Figure 2. Independent significant SNPs validated by at least one biomarker, log-BUN or eGFR**<sub>cysC</sub>. FUMA identified 87 independent significant SNPs. (A) Among them, 14 SNPs were validated by both log-BUN and eGFR<sub>cysC</sub>, whereas 56 were validated by none of them. The concordance between eGFR and log-BUN (B) and that between eGFR and eGFR<sub>cysC</sub> (C) are represented. Red dots correspond to independent significant SNPs validated by both log-BUN and eGFR<sub>cysC</sub>, while blue dots represent loci validated by log-BUN (B) and green dots represent those validated by eGFR<sub>cysC</sub> (C).

BUN, blood urine nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated using serum creatinine by CKD-EPI equation; eGFR<sub>cysC</sub>, eGFR calculated using serum cystatin C by CKD-EPI equation; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

genes, 33 were located within the genomic risk region (Fig. 4A). Gene-property analysis based on GTEx v8 revealed that the kidney cortex was the most enriched tissue (Fig. 4B), out of the 49 GTEx tissues (nominal p = 0.002).

To further investigate the significance of these genes,

overrepresentation analysis was performed using the disease gene network (DieGeNet) [38] for genes that remained significant after Bonferroni correction from the MAGMA gene analysis (Fig. 4C, D). Overrepresented genes were enriched in kidney-associated terms, such as glomerular

fable 2. Validated SNPs within eac	h genomic risk region	and mapped gene list
------------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------

		-	-					
CHR	Genomic risk region	rsID	Position (b37)	EA/NEA (EAF)	BETA	p-value	Cond P	Mapped gene list <sup>a</sup>
1	1:10,702,266- 10,718,377	rs3790638	10,707,812	A/G (0.05)	0.84	3.6 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>		RP4-734G22.3
2	2:27,598,097- 27,844,601	rs1260326⁵	27,730,940	C/T (0.45)	0.56	9.6 × 10 <sup>-17</sup>	-	GCKR
		rs4665985°	27,753,878	A/C (0.47)	-0.47	$2.2 \times 10^{-12}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-37}$	GCKR, AC109829.1
		rs62141288	27,783,198	A/G (0.33)	-0.41	7.6 × 10 <sup>-9</sup>	7.6 × 10 <sup>-25</sup>	AC109829.1
2	2:170,165,283- 170,206,062	rs77366165	170,170,804	A/G (0.10)	0.90	3.9 × 10 <sup>-15</sup>		LRP2
4	4:77,363,639- 77,414,988	rs7677847	77,364,126	A/G (0.35)	-0.39	2.6 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>		SHROOM3
4	4:103,675,108- 103,954,851	rs223471 <sup>⁵</sup>	103,698,786	C/G (0.36)	0.42	2.9 × 10 <sup>-9</sup>		MANBA, UBE2D3
5	5:176,757,841- 176,842,474	rs33921462 <sup>b</sup>	176,814,656	A/G (0.31)	-0.70	8.0 × 10 <sup>-21</sup>		SLC34A1
6	6:43,804,571- 43,829,941	rs744103⁵	43,805,362	T/A (0.14)	-0.63	2.3 × 10 <sup>-10</sup>	-	VEGFA, RP11-344J7.2
		rs35578578	43,810,526	G/GC (0.10)	0.65	4.5 × 10 <sup>-9</sup>	6.6 × 10 <sup>-25</sup>	VEGFA, RP11-344J7.2
7	7:1,243,525- 1,299,800	rs58063923℃	1,270,738	T/C (0.33)	0.41	3.7 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>		AC091729.9, UNCX
10	10:847,688- 1,081,293	rs17159964	913,064	T/G (0.09)	-0.88	3.2 × 10 <sup>-14</sup>		LARP4B
11	11:30,749,090- 30,777,790	rs56870952	30,750,092	T/TACAAAA- CAAA (0.33)	-0.58	2.9 × 10 <sup>-16</sup>		RP5-1024C24.1, DCDC1
12	12:111,301,027- 113,117,897	rs11066132 <sup>b</sup>	112,468,206	T/C (0.16)	-0.84	9.0 × 10 <sup>-20</sup>		NAA25
15	15:53,882,419- 54,006,275	rs572528	53,972,484	A/G (0.38)	0.50	2.9 × 10 <sup>-13</sup>		WDR72
16	16:20,383,049- 20,407,196	rs35449439	20,385,182	C/G (0.18)	0.51	3.0 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>		PDILT
17	17:59,239,149- 59,498,250	rs2240736 <sup>b</sup>	59,485,393	T/C (0.45)	0.57	2.8 × 10 <sup>-17</sup>		RP11-332H18.4
18	18:77,156,103– 77,160,235	rs549752⁵	77,158,225	A/G (0.32)	-0.52	3.8 × 10 <sup>-12</sup>		NFATC1

CHR, chromosome; EA, effect allele; EAF, effect allele frequency; NEA, non-effect allele; rsID, reference SNP cluster ID; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

<sup>a</sup>The mapped gene was annotated by ANNOVAR when performing FUMA. <sup>b</sup>These data denote an SNP previously linked to kidney trait (e.g., estimated glomerular filtration rate, uric acid, blood pressure, or serum creatinine). <sup>c</sup>These data indicate an SNP that has been searched for in the genome-wide association study catalog without exhibiting an association with kidney traits (rs4665985 for alcohol consumption, triglyceride, and liver fat; rs58063923 for height).

filtration rate, creatinine measurement, uric acid measurement, and BUN measurements (Fig. 4C). A network plot of enriched terms revealed several genes shown in Table 2 as well as known genes associated with renal traits, such as *TBX2*.

To investigate tissue enrichment, heritability enrichment using LDSC-SEG was performed (see Methods section). The liver was the most significantly expressed tissue in GTEx (false discovery rate [FDR], <0.05) (Fig. 5A), while the kidney cortex showed nominal significance (nominal p = 0.037, FDR, 0.098). Tissue enrichment in kidneys was significant when using the Franke lab dataset (Fig. 5B).

# Discussion

In this study, we conducted a GWAS for the trait of eGFR using a Korea Biobank Array on the Korean population. There were some previous GWAS studies for Koreans.



**Figure 3. Scatter plot for concordance between studies.** Of 399 loci validated by log-BUN or eGFR<sub>cysC</sub>, 333 variants were also identified in results from a meta-GWAS by Stanzick et al. [37]. The x- and y-axis shows beta and 95% confidence interval from our study (KoGES) and a previous large meta-GWAS (by Stanzick et al.), respectively. Gray dots represent validated only loci. Blue dots indicate validated independent significant single nucleotide polymorphisms. Red dots represent validated genomic significant loci. BUN, blood urine nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated using serum creatinine by CKD-EPI equation; eGFR<sub>cysC</sub>, eGFR calculated using serum cystatin C by CKD-EPI equation; GWAS, genome-wide association study; KoGES, the Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study.

However, one study has only involved the KARE cohort of KoGES [13]. Another study has reported GWAS results only for selected patients [14]. In addition, previous Korean GWAS studies did not perform fine-mapping analysis. We attempted to define functional annotation and fine-mapping and validate discovered loci in this study by comparing them with those found in other previous studies. We identified 18 SNPs (nine novel ones and nine previously reported ones) across 15 genomic risk regions spanning 20 genes (Table 2). Furthermore, our GWAS results demonstrated statistically significant enrichment in kidney-related diseases, as confirmed in the DieGeNet database. We also observed enrichment in kidney and liver tissues, in agreement with a previous study [37].

Among genes found in this study, some were concordant with previous reports and their functional roles were also demonstrated. For example, *LRP2*, also known as megalin, has been reported to be a target molecule associated with anti-brush border antibodies and renal failure (ABBA disease) [39]. *SHROOM3* is well known as a GFR-associated gene [2,37]. It is associated with the development of kidneys in an animal model [40]. A recent study has shown the role of lysosomal beta-mannosidase (MANBA) expression in kidney disease. *Manba* and *Ubed2d* were expressed in



**Figure 4. MAGMA gene analysis and pathway analysis based on significant genes.** MAGMA gene analysis identified significant genes based on GWAS summary statistics. (A) Manhattan plots showing 33 genes located within the genomic risk region that were significant after Bonferroni correction ( $p_{bon} < 0.05$ ). (B) To investigate enrichment in tissues, gene-property analysis was performed. The top 20 enrichment tissues (out of 54 GTEx tissues, with 49 tissues having sample sizes above 70) are depicted to be ordered according to their significance. The dashed line indicates  $p_{bon} < 0.05$  and the solid line indicates nominal p < 0.05. (C, D) Overrepresentation analysis based on disease gene network (DieGeNet).

GTEx, Genotype-Tissue Expression; GWAS, genome-wide association study.



**Figure 5. Heritability enrichment in tissues from GTEx and Franke using LDSC-SEG.** Tissue-specific enrichment was performed using gene expression dataset from GTEx (A) and from Franke lab (B). The red dashed line indicates significance after Bonferroni correction ( $p_{bon} < 0.05$ ). The blue line represents nominal significance (p < 0.05).

LDSC-SEG, linkage disequilibrium score regression applied to specifically expressed genes; GTEx, Genotype-Tissue Expression.

kidney tubule cells and fibroblasts, respectively [41]. The association of *SLC34A1* with kidney disease has already been reported [3]. Given that mutations in *SLC34A1* are associated with nephrolithiasis, the association between genotype and phenotype might be due to renal stone-related damage to the kidney [42]. *PDILT* is known to be located near *UMOD* and to regulate uromodulin expression [6]. *NFATC1* is associated with tumor necrosis factor-associated podocyte injury by *NFATC1/ABCA1*-dependent mechanism [43]. The role of *WDR72* remains unclear, although it has been reported in previous a meta-GWAS study [4]. Recent work showed *WDR72* might have a role associated with uromodulin along with the *UMOD-PDILT* locus [44].

We searched whether 18 SNPs discovered in this study were available in the GWAS catalog [45]. Only nine SNPs (rs1260326, rs4665985, rs223471, rs33921462, rs744103, rs58063923, rs11066132, rs2240736, and rs549752) could be found in the GWAS catalog (searched in February 2023). This was quite different from what was expected, while previous GWAS discovered many loci associated with kidney traits. This might be attributed to the fact that previous studies were almost based on European ancestry. Indeed, the population structure in the KoGES cohort was quite different from those of other populations (Supplementary Fig. 1, available online). Hence, we examined the possibility of novel SNPs being in LD with previously reported SNPs, excluding rs62141288 and rs35578578, which were determined to be conditional on rs1260326 and rs744103, respectively (both rs1260326 and rs744103 were previously identified as kidney-associated SNPs). Of the remaining SNPs (n = 7), all were found to be in nearly complete LD with SNPs that were previously known to be associated with kidneys (Supplementary Fig. 6, available online). In addition, we conducted an investigation on the associations between nine SNPs that were not previously identified in the GWAS catalog and kidney-related traits using the BioBank Japan database [46]. Among them, six SNPs (rs3790638, rs62141288, rs77366165, rs7677847, rs572528, and rs35449439) exhibited a significant association (p < 5 $\times 10^{-8}$ ) with kidney-related traits (Supplementary Table 2, available online). Given the similarity in ethnicity between Koreans and Japanese, the novel SNPs discovered in this study may be extrapolated as specific to the northeastern Asian population.

Our study has a limitation. It only involved Koreans. Thus, significant loci were different from previous studies and the GWAS catalog. Ethnic differences in population structure and genetic architecture might have influenced our results as described above. Most loci discovered by GWAS were known to be non-coding variants that might exert regulatory functions [47]. Gene expression varies according to their ancestry [48]. Given that regulatory variants might be different between ancestries, lead SNPs associated with the trait (i.e., eGFR) were different from other studies based on most of the European population. However, enrichment analysis showed that tissue-specific expression was not significantly different from the previous report. Target genes were expressed in a kidney-specific manner (Fig. 4, 5), although the concrete pattern of expression might differ slightly from results from European ancestry. Therefore, Korean eQTL data for other diseases should be curated in the future. In addition, the sample size in our study was not sufficient to draw robust conclusions. Particularly, the SNPs we discovered did not demonstrate significance in the KARE or CAVAS cohorts, which increases the risk of false positives. The number of participants needs to be increased in future studies.

In conclusion, we discovered several SNPs associated with kidney traits in the Korean population based on KoGES, the largest cohort in Korea. We also discovered that variants were validated in other enrichment analyses. Although a detailed causality and associated mechanisms should be elucidated in the future, we found not only concordant results with previous GWAS but also novel loci that might be specific to the Korean population.

# **Conflicts of interest**

All authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

# Funding

This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program (NRF-2022R1F1A1071128 to Samel Park and 2021R1C1C1007810 to Jong-Seok Moon) from the National Research Foundation of Korea.

# Acknowledgments

This study was conducted with bioresources from the National Biobank of Korea, the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency, Republic of Korea (NBK-21120702-01-01).

# **Data sharing statement**

The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

## **Authors' contributions**

Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Visualization: SP Data curation: DSK, NJC Funding acquisition, Project administration: JSM, SP Investigation: DJL, SP Methodology: DKS, YSL Resources: DJL, NJC Software: DJL, DSK Supervision: JSM, YSL, HWG, EYL Writing-original draft: DJL, SP Writing-review & editing: DJL, SP All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# ORCID

Dong-Jin Lee, https://orcid.org/0009-0000-7265-8299 Jong-Seok Moon, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2537-7854 Dae Kwon Song, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5086-2093 Yong Seok Lee, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8687-589X Dong-Sub Kim, https://orcid.org/0009-0007-0854-9750 Nam-Jun Cho, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9053-0499 Hyo-Wook Gil, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2550-2739 Eun Young Lee, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4513-9888 Samel Park, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5717-0743

## References

- Jager KJ, Kovesdy C, Langham R, Rosenberg M, Jha V, Zoccali C. A single number for advocacy and communication-worldwide more than 850 million individuals have kidney diseases. *Kidney Int* 2019;96:1048–1050.
- 2. Köttgen A, Glazer NL, Dehghan A, et al. Multiple loci associated with indices of renal function and chronic kidney disease. *Nat Genet* 2009;41:712–717.
- **3.** Köttgen A, Pattaro C, Böger CA, et al. New loci associated with kidney function and chronic kidney disease. *Nat Genet*

2010;42:376-384.

- 4. Pattaro C, Teumer A, Gorski M, et al. Genetic associations at 53 loci highlight cell types and biological pathways relevant for kidney function. *Nat Commun* 2016;7:10023.
- 5. Broekema RV, Bakker OB, Jonkers IH. A practical view of fine-mapping and gene prioritization in the post-genome-wide association era. *Open Biol* 2020;10:190221.
- **6.** Wuttke M, Li Y, Li M, et al. A catalog of genetic loci associated with kidney function from analyses of a million individuals. *Nat Genet* 2019;51:957–972.
- 7. Hellwege JN, Velez Edwards DR, Giri A, et al. Mapping eGFR loci to the renal transcriptome and phenome in the VA Million Veteran Program. *Nat Commun* 2019;10:3842.
- 8. Teumer A, Li Y, Ghasemi S, et al. Genome-wide association meta-analyses and fine-mapping elucidate pathways influencing albuminuria. *Nat Commun* 2019;10:4130.
- 9. Kim Y, Han BG; KoGES group. Cohort profile: The Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study (KoGES) Consortium. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017;46:e20.
- Kim KY, Kim JO, Kim YS, et al. Genome-wide association of individual vulnerability with alcohol-associated liver disease: a Korean genome and epidemiology study. *Hepatology* 2022;75:391– 402.
- Park JS, Kim Y, Kang J. Genome-wide meta-analysis revealed several genetic loci associated with serum uric acid levels in Korean population: an analysis of Korea Biobank data. *J Hum Genet* 2022;67:231–237.
- 12. Gim JA, Lee S, Kim SC, Baek KW, Yoo JI. Demographic and genome wide association analyses according to muscle mass using data of the Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study. J Korean Med Sci 2022;37:e346.
- 13. Lee J, Lee Y, Park B, Won S, Han JS, Heo NJ. Genome-wide association analysis identifies multiple loci associated with kidney disease-related traits in Korean populations. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0194044.
- Kim HR, Jin HS, Eom YB. A genome-wide association study for hypertensive kidney disease in Korean men. *Genes (Basel)* 2021;12:751.
- 15. Albert FW, Kruglyak L. The role of regulatory variation in complex traits and disease. *Nat Rev Genet* 2015;16:197–212.
- 16. Moon S, Kim YJ, Han S, et al. The Korea Biobank Array: design and identification of coding variants associated with blood biochemical traits. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:1382.
- 17. Cho YS, Go MJ, Kim YJ, et al. A large-scale genome-wide association study of Asian populations uncovers genetic factors influ-

encing eight quantitative traits. Nat Genet 2009;41:527-534.

- 18. Nam K, Kim J, Lee S. Genome-wide study on 72,298 individuals in Korean biobank data for 76 traits. *Cell Genom* 2022;2:100189.
- **19.** Marees AT, de Kluiver H, Stringer S, et al. A tutorial on conducting genome-wide association studies: quality control and statistical analysis. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2018;27:e1608.
- **20.** 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Auton A, Brooks LD, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* 2015;526:68–74.
- **21.** Browning BL, Tian X, Zhou Y, Browning SR. Fast two-stage phasing of large-scale sequence data. *Am J Hum Genet* 2021;108:1880–1890.
- 22. Browning BL, Zhou Y, Browning SR. A one-penny imputed genome from next-generation reference panels. *Am J Hum Genet* 2018;103:338–348.
- 23. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;150:604– 612.
- 24. Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H, et al. Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine and cystatin C. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:20–29.
- **25.** Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* 2015;4:7.
- 26. Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* 2010;26:2190–2191.
- 27. Watanabe K, Taskesen E, van Bochoven A, Posthuma D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Commun* 2017;8:1826.
- 28. Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010;38:e164.
- 29. de Leeuw CA, Mooij JM, Heskes T, Posthuma D. MAGMA: generalized gene-set analysis of GWAS data. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2015;11:e1004219.
- **30.** de Leeuw CA, Stringer S, Dekkers IA, Heskes T, Posthuma D. Conditional and interaction gene-set analysis reveals novel functional pathways for blood pressure. *Nat Commun* 2018;9:3768.
- **31.** GTEx Consortium. The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human tissues. *Science* 2020;369:1318–1330.
- **32.** Yu G, Wang LG, Yan GR, He QY. DOSE: an R/Bioconductor package for disease ontology semantic and enrichment analysis. *Bioinformatics* 2015;31:608–609.

- **33.** Finucane HK, Reshef YA, Anttila V, et al. Heritability enrichment of specifically expressed genes identifies disease-relevant tissues and cell types. *Nat Genet* 2018;50:621–629.
- 34. Pers TH, Karjalainen JM, Chan Y, et al. Biological interpretation of genome-wide association studies using predicted gene functions. *Nat Commun* 2015;6:5890.
- bulik/ldsc. ldsc wiki [Internet]. rkwalters; c2019 [cited 2023 Mar 26]. Available from: https://github.com/bulik/ldsc/wiki
- **36.** Yang J, Ferreira T, Morris AP, et al. Conditional and joint multiple-SNP analysis of GWAS summary statistics identifies additional variants influencing complex traits. *Nat Genet* 2012;44:369–375.
- 37. Stanzick KJ, Li Y, Schlosser P, et al. Discovery and prioritization of variants and genes for kidney function in >1.2 million individuals. *Nat Commun* 2021;12:4350.
- **38**. Piñero J, Queralt-Rosinach N, Bravo À, et al. DisGeNET: a discovery platform for the dynamical exploration of human diseases and their genes. *Database (Oxford)* 2015;2015:bav028.
- **39.** Larsen CP, Trivin-Avillach C, Coles P, et al. LDL Receptor-related protein 2 (Megalin) as a target antigen in human kidney anti-brush border antibody disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2018;29:644–653.
- 40. Khalili H, Sull A, Sarin S, et al. Developmental origins for kidney disease due to Shroom3 deficiency. J Am Soc Nephrol 2016;27:2965–2973.

- **41.** Gu X, Yang H, Sheng X, et al. Kidney disease genetic risk variants alter lysosomal beta-mannosidase (MANBA) expression and disease severity. *Sci Transl Med* 2021;13:eaaz1458.
- 42. Daga A, Majmundar AJ, Braun DA, et al. Whole exome sequencing frequently detects a monogenic cause in early onset nephrolithiasis and nephrocalcinosis. *Kidney Int* 2018;93:204–213.
- **43.** Pedigo CE, Ducasa GM, Leclercq F, et al. Local TNF causes NFATc1-dependent cholesterol-mediated podocyte injury. *J Clin Invest* 2016;126:3336–3350.
- 44. Joseph CB, Mariniello M, Yoshifuji A, et al. Meta-GWAS reveals novel genetic variants associated with urinary excretion of uromodulin. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2022;33:511–529.
- 45. Sollis E, Mosaku A, Abid A, et al. The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog: knowledgebase and deposition resource. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2023;51:D977–D985.
- **46.** Sakaue S, Kanai M, Tanigawa Y, et al. A cross-population atlas of genetic associations for 220 human phenotypes. *Nat Genet* 2021;53:1415–1424.
- 47. Maurano MT, Humbert R, Rynes E, et al. Systematic localization of common disease-associated variation in regulatory DNA. *Science* 2012;337:1190–1195.
- **48.** Mogil LS, Andaleon A, Badalamenti A, et al. Genetic architecture of gene expression traits across diverse populations. *PLoS Genet* 2018;14:e1007586.